Buckling Analysis of Symmetrically-Laminated Plates using Refined Theory including Curvature Effects
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ABSTRACT

A refined theory is successfully extended in this study for critical buckling loads of rectangular, symmetrically-laminated plates, including curvature effects. The theory accounts for a quadratic variation of the transverse shear strains across the thickness, and satisfies the zero traction boundary conditions on the top and bottom surfaces of the plate and avoids the need of shear correction factors. The numerical results are presented for critical buckling loads for orthotropic laminates subjected to biaxial inplane loading. Using the Navier solution method, the differential equations have been solved analytically and the critical buckling loads presented in closed-form solutions. The significant effects of curvature terms on buckling loads are studied, with various loading conditions and thickness-side ratio. Some exact buckling solutions for simplified cases with and without the inclusion of curvature terms are obtained and compared with results available elsewhere in literature.
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Nomenclature

$x, y, z$ Directions of Cartesian coordinate system
$u, v, w$ In-plane displacements
$w_b$ and $w_s$ Bending and shear components of transverse displacement, respectively
$a, b$ Plate length and width, respectively
$h$ Plate thickness
$E_1$ and $E_2$ Young’s moduli along and transverse to the fibre, respectively
$G_{12}$, $G_{23}$ and $G_{13}$ In-plane and transverse shear moduli
$\nu_{12}$ and $\nu_{21}$ Poisson’s ratios along and transverse to the fibre, respectively
$k$ Number of layers
$Q_{ij}$ Plane stress reduced elastic constants in the material axes of the plate
$\overline{Q}_{ij}$ Transformed material constants
$A_i, A_i^s, D_i, D_i^s, H_i^s$ Plate stiffness
$M_i, M_i^s, Q_j$ Resultants moments, shear forces, respectively
(i=x,y,xy & j=xz, yz)
$\sigma_0^x, \sigma_0^y, \tau_0^{xy}$ In-plane stresses
$\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i^N, \gamma_i^{xy}$ Second order strain
$U$ Strain energy of the plate
$V$ Potential energy of the plate
$V_i$ In-plane force terms
$V_2$ Curvature terms
$N$ Force per unit length
$\bar{\xi}$ Load parameter
$\bar{N}$ Critical buckling factor
$N_{cr}$ Critical buckling Load
$L_{ij}$ Linear operators
$c_{ij}$ Scalar indicator of curvature terms
$m, n$ Number of half waves in the x- and y-directions, respectively

Introduction

The advancement of technology in the search of structural materials with high specific strength and stiffness properties has resulted in the application of laminated composites in aerospace and transportation industries. The
increasingly wider application to other fields of engineering has necessitated the evolution of adequate analytical tools for the better understanding of the structural behaviour and efficient utilization of the materials.

Recently, Khalili et al [1] studied the buckling analysis of the sandwich plates for the general cases of the problem and the analytical exact solutions using a simple and fast computational code. Moita et al. [2], finite element model is presented for buckling and geometrically nonlinear analysis of multilayer sandwich structures and shells, with a soft core sandwiched between stiff elastic layers. Ruocco [3] examined the influence of the nonlinear Green–Lagrange strain tensor terms on the buckling of orthotropic, moderately thick plates by the Mindlin hypotheses. Raju et al [4] studied the buckling and postbuckling of variable angle tow composite plates under in-plane shear loading. Kazemi [5] proposed a new method for calculating the critical buckling load has been developed based on the polar representation of tensors. This method can help to analyze the influence of anisotropy on the buckling behavior of simply supported rectangular laminated plates subjected to biaxial compression, thus avoiding the complexities associated with the Cartesian formulation. Chalak et al [6] proposed a new plate model is proposed for the stability analysis of laminated sandwich plate having a soft compressible core based on higher-order zig-zag theory. Kumar et al [7] presented the design of a graded fiber-reinforced composite lamina and graded laminates with an objective of reduced inter-laminar stress-discontinuity in composite laminates. Thai et al [8] presented the novel numerical approach using a NURBS-based isogeometric approach associated with third-order shear deformation theory (TSDT) is formulated for static, free vibration, and buckling analysis of laminated composite plate structures. Rachchhet al. [9] studied the Effect of red mud filler on mechanical and buckling characteristics of coir fibre reinforced polymer composite. Bohlooly and Mirzavand [10] studied Buckling and postbuckling behavior of symmetric laminated composite plates with surface mounted and embedded piezoelectric actuators subjected to mechanical, thermal, electrical, and combined loads. Venkatachari et. al. [11] examined buckling characteristics of curvilinear fibre composite laminates exposed to hygrothermal environment. The formulation is based on the transverse shear deformation theory and it accounts for the lamina material properties at elevated moisture concentrations and thermal gradients. Kumar et. Al. [12] proposed a new lamination scheme is through the design of a graded orthotropic fiber-reinforced composite ply for achieving continuous variations of material properties along the thickness direction of laminated composite plates.

In the past three decades, researches on laminated plates have received great attention, and a variety of plate theories has been introduced based on considering the transverse shear deformation effect. The classical plate theory (CPT), which neglects the transverse shear deformation effect, provides
reasonable results for thin plate [13-15]. The Reissner [16] and Mindlin [17] theories are known as the first-order shear deformation plate theory (FSDT), and account for the transverse shear effect by the way of linear variation of in-plane displacements through the thickness. There are many two dimensional theories that have been proposed to account for the shear deformation of moderately deep structures and highly anisotropic composites. Reddy [18] proposed a parabolic shear deformation plate theory. Touratier [19] proposed a trigonometric shear deformation plate theory where the transverse strain distribution is given as a sine function. Soldatos [20] proposed a hyperbolic shear deformation plate theory. Aydogdu [21] presented a new shear deformation theory for laminated composite plates. Therefore, Lee et al. [22] proposed a higher-order shear deformable theory using the similar approach of representing transverse displacement using two components. Recently, Shimpi [23] has developed a new refined plate theory which is simple to use and extended by Shimpi and Patel [24,25] for orthotropic plates.

To the best of authors’ knowledge, there are no research works for mechanical buckling analysis of laminated plates based on new refined theory including curvature effects. In this work, the effect of curvature terms on the buckling analysis of symmetrically-laminated rectangular plates subjected to biaxial inplane loading has been investigated using the refined theory and Navier solution. The formulation theory accounts for the shear deformation effects without requiring a shear correction factor. Number of unknown functions involved is only two, as against three in case of simple shear deformation theories of Mindlin and Reissner and common higher-order shear deformation theories. Governing equations have been developed for determining critical buckling loads of rectangular, symmetrically-laminated plates, including transverse shear deformation and curvature effects. Using the Navier solution method, the differential equations have been solved analytically and the critical buckling loads presented in closed-form solutions. The sensitivity of critical buckling loads to the effects of curvature terms and other factors has been examined. The analysis is validated by comparing results with those in the literature. The basic equations of plane problem and the general solution for mechanical buckling of laminated plate including curvature effects are given in Section 2. The numerical examples are given in Section 3 and a summary is given in Section 4.

**Theoretical Formulation**

**Buckling of symmetric, anisotropic laminates plates**

The displacement field, which accounts for parabolic variation of transverse shear stress through the thickness, and satisfies the zero traction boundary
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conditions on the top and bottom faces of the plate, is assumed as follows [22,23]:

\[ u(x, y, z) = -z \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} + \left[ \frac{1}{4} z - \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{z}{h} \right)^2 \right] \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x}, \]

\[ v(x, y, z) = -z \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} + \left[ \frac{1}{4} z - \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{z}{h} \right)^2 \right] \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y}, \]

\[ w(x, y, z) = w_b(x, y) + w_s(x, y) \]

where \( w_b \) and \( w_s \) are the bending and shear components of transverse displacement, respectively; and \( h \) is the plate thickness. The kinematic relations can be obtained as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \varepsilon \} &= \{ k^b \} z + \{ k^s \} f(z) \\
n \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{yz} \\ \gamma_{xz} \end{bmatrix} &= n \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{yz}^s \\ \gamma_{xz}^s \end{bmatrix} g(z)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \varepsilon \} &= \{ \varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \gamma_{xy} \}^T \\
\{ k^b \} &= \{ k_x^b, k_y^b, k_{xy}^b \}^T = \left\{ -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2}, -\frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2}, -2 \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \right\}^T \\
\{ k^s \} &= \{ k_x^s, k_y^s, k_{xy}^s \}^T = \left\{ -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x^2}, -\frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial y^2}, -2 \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial x \partial y} \right\}^T \\
\gamma_{xz}' &= \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x}, \gamma_{yz}' &= \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \\
f(z) &= -\frac{1}{4} z + \frac{5}{3} \left( \frac{z}{h} \right)^2, f'(z) = \frac{df(z)}{dz}, g(z) = 1 - f'(z)
\]

Constitutive equations

Under the assumption that each layer possesses a plane of elastic symmetry parallel to the x–y plane, the constitutive equations for a layer can be written as
where

\[
Q_{11} = \frac{E_1}{1 - \nu_{12} \nu_{21}}, \quad Q_{12} = \frac{\nu_{12} E_2}{1 - \nu_{12} \nu_{21}}, \quad Q_{22} = \frac{E_2}{1 - \nu_{12} \nu_{21}} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

\[
Q_{66} = G_{12}, \quad Q_{44} = G_{23}, \quad Q_{55} = G_{13}
\]

Transforming the above equations of an arbitrary k layer in local coordinate system into the global coordinate system, the laminate constitutive equations can be expressed as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_x \\
\sigma_y \\
\sigma_{xy} \\
\sigma_{yz} \\
\sigma_{xz}
\end{pmatrix}^{(k)} =
\begin{pmatrix}
Q_{11} & Q_{12} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
Q_{12} & Q_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q_{66} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{44} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & Q_{55}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon_x \\
\varepsilon_y \\
\gamma_{xy} \\
\gamma_{yz} \\
\gamma_{xz}
\end{pmatrix}^{(k)}
\]

\[
\text{(6)}
\]

where usual notations for normal and shear stress components are adopted.

The relationship of the global reduced stiffness matrix \( \overrightarrow{Q}_{ij} \) can be referred to any standard texts such as [26, 27].

**Governing equation**

The strain energy of the plate is calculated by

\[
2U = \int_V \sigma_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij} dV = \int_V \left( \sigma_x \varepsilon_x + \sigma_y \varepsilon_y + \sigma_{xy} \gamma_{xy} + \sigma_{yz} \gamma_{yz} + \sigma_{xz} \gamma_{xz} \right) dV \quad \text{(7)}
\]

Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (7) and integrating through the thickness of the plate, the strain energy of the plate can be rewritten as

\[
2U = \int_A \left[ M_{xx}^k \Delta x_x + M_{yy}^k \Delta y_y + M_{xy}^k \Delta x_y + M_{yx}^k \Delta y_x + M_{xz}^k \Delta x_z + M_{yz}^k \Delta y_z + Q_{x} \gamma_{xy} + Q_{y} \gamma_{yx} \right] dx dy \quad \text{(8)}
\]
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where \((M_x^b, M_y^b, M_{xy}^b)\), \((M_x^s, M_y^s, M_{xy}^s)\) denote the total moment resultants and \((Q_{xz}, Q_{yz})\) are transverse shear stress resultants and they are defined as

\[
(M_x^b, M_y^b, M_{xy}^b) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}) \, dz
\]

\[
(M_x^s, M_y^s, M_{xy}^s) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_{xy}) \, f(z) \, dz
\]

\[(Q_{xz}, Q_{yz}) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} (\sigma_{xz}, \sigma_{yz}) \, g(z) \, dz \tag{9}\]

From Eq. (9), one can obtain the following equations:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_x^b \\
M_y^b \\
M_{xy}^b
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\
D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\
D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{\partial^2 W_b}{\partial x^2} \\
-\frac{\partial^2 W_b}{\partial y^2} \\
-2 \frac{\partial^2 W_b}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
D_{41} & D_{42} & D_{46} \\
D_{42} & D_{42} & D_{46} \\
D_{46} & D_{46} & D_{46}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{\partial^2 W_s}{\partial x^2} \\
-\frac{\partial^2 W_s}{\partial y^2} \\
-2 \frac{\partial^2 W_s}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{10}\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
M_x^s \\
M_y^s \\
M_{xy}^s
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
D'_{11} & D'_{12} & D'_{16} \\
D'_{12} & D'_{22} & D'_{26} \\
D'_{16} & D'_{26} & D'_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{\partial^2 W_b}{\partial x^2} \\
-\frac{\partial^2 W_b}{\partial y^2} \\
-2 \frac{\partial^2 W_b}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
H'_{11} & H'_{12} & H'_{16} \\
H'_{12} & H'_{22} & H'_{26} \\
H'_{16} & H'_{26} & H'_{66}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{\partial^2 W_s}{\partial x^2} \\
-\frac{\partial^2 W_s}{\partial y^2} \\
-2 \frac{\partial^2 W_s}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{11}\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Q_y \\
Q_x
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
A_{44} & A_{45} \\
A_{45} & A_{55}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial W_s}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial W_s}{\partial x}
\end{bmatrix}
\tag{12}\]

where,

\[
(D_{ij}, D'_{ij}, H'_{ij}) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \bar{Q}_{ij} (z^2, \tilde{f}(z), (f(z))^2) \, dz \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 6)
\]

\[
A_{ij} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \bar{Q}_{ij} (g(z))^2 \, dz \quad (i, j = 4, 5) \tag{13}\]

Substituting Eqs. (10) - (12) and (3) to Eq. (8), the strain energy per unit area, \(U\), due to the buckling deformation is of the form

\[
45
\]
\[ 2U = D_{11} \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^4} + D_{22} \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial y^4} + 2(D_{12} + 2D_{66}) \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + 4D_{16} \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^3 \partial y} + 4D_{26} \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^3} + 2D_{11} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x^3} + 2D_{22} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial y^3} + 2D_{12} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x^2} + 2D_{22} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial y^2} + 2D_{12} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x} + 2D_{22} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial y} \]
\[ + 4D_{16} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y} + 4D_{16} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^2} + 4D_{26} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^2} + 4D_{26} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^2} + 8D_{26} \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^2} \]
\[ + A_{14} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + A_{54} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + 2A_{13} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \]

The potential energy of the applied in-plane stresses \( \sigma_x, \sigma_y \) and \( \tau_{xy} \) arises from the action of the applied stresses on the corresponding second order strain \( \varepsilon_x^N, \varepsilon_y^N \) and \( \gamma_{xy}^N \). Following the usual procedure [28, 29], after taking into account the displacement field given by Equation (1)

\[ \varepsilon_x^N = \frac{z^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right] + f(z) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \right) + 2 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \]

\[ \varepsilon_y^N = \frac{z^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right] + f(z) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \right] \]
\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} \right) + 2 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \]

\[ \gamma_{xy}^N = \frac{z^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^3 \partial y} + \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^3} \right] + f(z) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \right] + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^3} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} \]
\[ + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^3} \]

The potential energy of the plate flat of volume is

\[ V = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left( \sigma_x \varepsilon_x^N + \sigma_y \varepsilon_y^N + \tau_{xy} \gamma_{xy}^N \right) dz \]
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Denoting conventional inplane force terms by $V_1$ and “curvature” terms by $V_2$, then after combining Equations (15)-(17) and (18) we find that

$$V = V_1 + V_2$$

where

$$2V_1 = N_{x}^{0} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial y} \right) + N_{y}^{0} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w_y}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w_y}{\partial x} \right)$$

$$+ 2N_{xy}^{0} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} \frac{\partial w_y}{\partial x} \right)$$

$$2V_2 = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left( \frac{\sigma_x}{\partial^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_x}{\partial^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_y}{\partial^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^4 w_y}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right) \frac{f(z)}{d\bar{z}}$$

In addition

$$\left( N_{x}^{0}, N_{y}^{0}, N_{xy}^{0} \right) = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \left\{ \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \tau_{xy} \right\} d\bar{z}$$

In order to put the integral in Equation (21) in a useful form for heterogeneous plates, we utilize the constitutive relations for the inplane loading of a symmetrically-laminated plate [30, 31]

$$\begin{bmatrix} N_{x}^{0} \\ N_{y}^{0} \\ N_{xy}^{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{16} \\ A_{12} & A_{22} & A_{26} \\ A_{16} & A_{26} & A_{66} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x}^{0} \\ \epsilon_{y}^{0} \\ \gamma_{xy}^{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

(23)
where

\[ A_{ij} = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} Q_{ij} \, dz \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 6) \]  

(24)

Equation (23) can now be written in the form

\[ \varepsilon_{i,j}^0 = A_{jk}^* N_k^0 \quad (j, k = 1, 2, 6) \]  

(25)

where the repeated index denotes summation, and \( A_{jk}^* \) represents elements of the inverse matrix of \( A_{jk} \). Denoting \( \sigma_x^0, \sigma_y^0 \) and \( \tau_{xy}^0 \) by \( \sigma_x^1, \sigma_y^2 \) and \( \sigma_y^1 \), respectively, we can write the inplane ply constitutive relations in the form:

\[ \sigma_i^0 = \tilde{Q}_{ij} \varepsilon_j^0 \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 6) \]  

(26)

Thus,

\[
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i^0 z^2 \, dz = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \tilde{Q}_{ij} \varepsilon_j^0 z^2 \, dz = D_{ij}^0 \varepsilon_j^0 \\
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i^0 f(z) \, dz = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \tilde{Q}_{ij} \varepsilon_j^0 f(z) \, dz = D_{ij}^0 \varepsilon_j^0 \\
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i^0 f(z)^2 \, dz = \int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \tilde{Q}_{ij} \varepsilon_j^0 f(z)^2 \, dz = H_{ij}^0 \varepsilon_j^0
\]  

(27)

Combining Equations (25) and (27), we find that

\[
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i^0 z^2 \, dz = D_{ij} A_{jk}^* N_k^0 = F_{ij}^* N_k^0 \\
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i^0 f(z) \, dz = D_{ij} A_{jk}^* N_k^0 = F_{ij}^* N_k^0 \\
\int_{-h/2}^{h/2} \sigma_i^0 f(z)^2 \, dz = H_{ij} A_{jk}^* N_k^0 = F_{ij}^* N_k^0
\]  

(28)

where

\[
F_{ij} = D_{ij} A_{jk}^* \\
F_{ij}^* = D_{ij}^* A_{jk}^* \\
F_{ij}^* = H_{ij} A_{jk}^*
\]  

(29)
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Taking into account Equations (28) and (29), the “curvature” terms, Equation (21), are of the form

\[
2V_2 = (F_{11}N_{xx}^0 + F_{12}N_{xy}^0 + F_{16}N_{yy}^0) \left[ \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_s}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right] + (F_{22}N_{yy}^0 + F_{23}N_{xy}^0 + F_{26}N_{xx}^0) \left[ \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial y^4} + \frac{\partial^4 w_s}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \right]
\]

\[
+ 2(F_{13}N_{xy}^0 + F_{16}N_{yy}^0 + F_{18}N_{yx}^0) \left[ \frac{\partial^4 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^3} + \frac{\partial^4 w_s}{\partial x \partial y \partial y^2} \right] + 2(F_{11}N_{xx}^0 + F_{12}N_{xy}^0 + F_{16}N_{yy}^0) \left[ \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 w_s}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^3 w_s}{\partial x^2 \partial y^2} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \right]
\]

\[
+ 2(F_{13}N_{xy}^0 + F_{16}N_{yy}^0 + F_{18}N_{yx}^0) \left[ \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^3} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 w_s}{\partial x \partial y^3} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 w_b}{\partial x \partial y^3} \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^3 w_s}{\partial x \partial y^3} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial y} \right]
\]

\[= 0
\]

Governing equations can be obtained by applying the variational relationship

\[
\delta U + \delta V_1 + \delta V_2 = 0
\]

Substituting Equations (14), (20) and (30) into Equation (31), we obtain the following governing equations in operator form

\[
L_{11}w_b + L_{12}w_s = 0
\]

\[
L_{13}w_b + L_{22}w_s = 0
\]

The linear operators \( L_{ij} \) are defined as follows:

\[
L_{11} = (D_{11} + F_{11}N_{xx}^0 + F_{12}N_{xy}^0 + F_{16}N_{yy}^0) ( \ )_{xxxx} + 2(D_{16} + F_{16}N_{xx}^0 + F_{26}N_{yy}^0 + F_{66}N_{xy}^0) ( \ )_{xyyy}
\]

\[
+ (2D_{12} + 4D_{66} + F_{11}N_{xy}^0 + F_{13}N_{xy}^0 + F_{17}N_{xy}^0 + F_{12}N_{yy}^0 + F_{22}N_{yy}^0 + F_{26}N_{yy}^0 + F_{26}N_{yy}^0 + F_{66}N_{xy}^0) ( \ )_{xyyy}
\]

\[
+ (D_{22} + F_{22}N_{yy}^0 + F_{27}N_{yy}^0 + F_{26}N_{yy}^0) ( \ )_{yyyy} + 2(D_{26} + F_{16}N_{xx}^0 + F_{26}N_{yy}^0 + F_{66}N_{xy}^0) ( \ )_{yyyy}
\]

\[
+ N_{\varepsilon} ( \ )_{xx} + 2N_{\gamma y} ( \ )_{xy} + N_{\gamma y} ( \ )_{yy}
\]

(33)
Exact solutions of mechanical buckling for symmetric cross-ply plates
Consider a rectangular plate with the length a, and width b which is subjected to in-plane loads. Therefore, the pre-buckling forces can be obtained using the equilibrium conditions as [32, 33]

\[ \sigma_{11}^0 = -\sigma_1, \quad \sigma_{12}^0 = \xi \sigma_1, \quad \sigma_{22}^0 = 0 \quad (N > 0) \] (34)

Where \( \sigma_1 \) the force per unit length is, \( \xi \) is the load parameter which indicate the loading conditions. Negative value for \( \xi \) indicate that plate is subjected to biaxial compressive loads while positive values are used for tensile loads. Also, zero value for \( \xi \) show uniaxial loading in x directions, respectively.

The exact solutions of equations (32) and (33) for simply supported, symmetric cross-ply rectangular plates may be obtained by recognizing the following plate stiffness to have zero values

\[ A_{16} = A_{26} = D_{16} = D_{26} = D_{16} = D_{26} = 0 \]
\[ H_{16} = H_{26} = A_{145} = 0 \] (35)

By following the Navier solution procedure, the solutions to the problem are assumed to take the following forms

\[ w_h(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{hmn} \sin \lambda_h x \sin \mu y \] (36)
\[ w_s(x, y) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{smn} \sin \lambda_s x \sin \mu y \]
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where

\[
\lambda = \frac{m \pi x}{a}, \mu = \frac{m \pi x}{a}
\]  

(37)

Substituting Equation (36) into Equation (32) for a symmetric cross-ply laminate, we obtain the following equations

\[
\begin{align*}
[D_{11} - c_e N(F_{11} - \xi F_{12})] W_{b,xxxx} &+ [2D_{12} + 4D_{66} - c_e N(F_{11} + F_{12}) - \xi (F_{12} + F_{22})] W_{b,xyyy} \\
+ [D_{22} - c_e N(F_{12} - \xi F_{22})] W_{b,yyyy} &+ [D_{12} - c_e N(F_{11} - \xi F_{12})] W_{b,xyxy} \\
+ [2D_{12} + 4D_{66} - c_e N(F_{11} + F_{12}) - \xi (F_{12} + F_{22})] W_{b,xyxy} &+ [D_{12} - c_e N(F_{11} - \xi F_{12})] W_{b,xyxy} \\
+ 2D_{12} + 4D_{66} - c_e N(F_{11} + F_{12}) - \xi (F_{12} + F_{22}) &+ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{c_e}{b} \right)^2 \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(38)

\[
\begin{align*}
[D_{11} - c_e N(F_{11} - \xi F_{12})] W_{b,xxxx} &+ [2D_{12} + 4D_{66} - c_e N(F_{11} + F_{12}) - \xi (F_{12} + F_{22})] W_{b,xyyy} \\
+ [D_{22} - c_e N(F_{12} - \xi F_{22})] W_{b,yyyy} &+ [H_{11} - c_e N(F_{11} - \xi F_{12})] W_{b,xyyy} \\
+ [2H_{12} + 4H_{66} - c_e N(F_{11} + F_{12}) - \xi (F_{12} + F_{22})] W_{b,xyxy} &+ [H_{12} - c_e N(F_{11} - \xi F_{12})] W_{b,xyxy} \\
+ 2H_{12} + 4H_{66} - c_e N(F_{11} + F_{12}) - \xi (F_{12} + F_{22}) &+ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{c_e}{b} \right)^2 \\
\end{align*}
\]  

(39)

where \( c_e \) takes on the value 1 when the “curvature” terms are included in the analysis and is 0 when these terms are neglected.

After substituting the Eq. (36) into Eqs. (38) and (39) we get a system of two equations for finding the \( W_{b,mn} \) and \( W_{s,mn} \). By equating the determinant of coefficient to zero we have:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
(a_1 - N(c_e b_1 + c_1)) & (a_2 - N(c_e b_2 + c_1)) \\
(a_2 - N(c_e b_2 + c_1)) & (a_3 - N(c_e b_3 + c_1))
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
W_{b,mn} \\
W_{s,mn}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}
\]  

(40)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
a_1 &= D_{11} \frac{m^4 \pi^4}{a^4} + D_{22} \frac{n^4 \pi^4}{b^4} + (2D_{12} + 4D_{66}) \frac{m^2 n^2 \pi^4}{a^2 b^2} \\
a_2 &= D_{11} \frac{m^4 \pi^4}{a^4} + D_{22} \frac{n^4 \pi^4}{b^4} + (2D_{12} + 4D_{66}) \frac{m^2 n^2 \pi^4}{a^2 b^2} \\
a_3 &= H_{11} \frac{m^4 \pi^4}{a^4} + H_{22} \frac{n^4 \pi^4}{b^4} + (2H_{12} + 4H_{66}) \frac{m^2 n^2 \pi^4}{a^2 b^2} + A_{15} \frac{m^2 \pi^2}{a^2} + A_{14} \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{b^2}
\end{align*}
\]  

(41)
Numerical Examples

Several examples are solved to demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of the method. In the examples considered, symmetric cross-ply, angle-ply and quasi-isotropic thick rectangular laminates are considered and the following material properties are assumed [29]:

\[ \frac{E_1}{E_2} = 14, \frac{G_{12}}{E_2} = 0.533, \frac{G_{23}}{E_2} = 0.323, \nu_{12} = 0.3, \nu_{12} = 0.55 \]

Two different cases have been considered in the numerical study: (1) without the effects of curvature terms and (2) with the effect of curvature terms. Note that Case 1 is the conventional consideration of thick plate buckling, which forms the basis of comparison for the case (2). Algorithm used to do the numerical analysis:

A general iterative procedure for obtaining the buckling load \( N \), is as follows:

- The effects of curvature terms are ignored, thus, \( c_c = 0 \); \( c_1 \) and \( a_i, i = 1-3 \), are calculated. Substitute \( c_1 \) and \( a_i \), into matrix in Equation (40). For nontrivial solution of the critical buckling load \( N_{cr} \), the determinant of the matrix in Equation (40) must be equal to zero.

- The effects of curvature terms are included, thus, \( c_c = 1 \); \( c_1 \) and \( a_i, b_i, i = 1-3 \), are calculated. Substitute \( c_1 \) and \( a_i, b_i \), into matrix in Equation (40). For nontrivial solution of the critical buckling load \( N_{cr} \), the determinant of the matrix in Equation (40) must be equal to zero.
Note that since \( m, n = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty \), there is an infinite number of buckling loads \( N \). The critical buckling load \( N_{cr} \) is the minimum positive real solution with respect to \( m \) and \( n \).

In order to verify the present code, the buckling problem of a simply isotropic square plate (\( v = 0.30 \)) under uniaxial compression is studied in Table 1. The numerical results are compared with analytic results of Reddy [34] and strain finite element formulation incorporating a third-order polynomial displacement model results of Nayak [35]. It shows that the present results are compared well with those of the previous works.

Table 1: Comparisons of critical buckling factor \( N = \bar{N} \alpha^2 / \pi^2 D \) for simply supported square isotropic plates under uniaxial compression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>a/h</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reddy [34]</td>
<td>3.2653</td>
<td>3.7865</td>
<td>3.9443</td>
<td>3.9909</td>
<td>3.9977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>3.2653</td>
<td>3.7866</td>
<td>3.9444</td>
<td>3.9910</td>
<td>3.9977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of critical buckling load of simply supported square cross-ply laminated composite plates \( [0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ] \) plate are presented in Tables 2 and 3 and Figs. 1 and 2. In Tables 2 and 3, the critical buckling factor \( N_{cr} a^2 / h^3 E_z \) for simply supported square cross-ply laminated composite plates \( [0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ] \), under biaxial buckling and under in-plane combined tension and compression, respectively for different values of thickness-side ratio \( a/h = 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 \). The material and geometry of the square plate considered here are [18]. These results are compared with the results found by Whitney [29] using first-order shear deformation theory. As seen a very good agreement has been achieved between them. Tables 2 and 3 also show that, the critical buckling factor increases with increase in the thickness-side ratio \( a/h \). A comparison of Table 2 with Table 3 shows that the critical buckling load for the plate subjected to compression along x-direction and tension along y-direction, is greater than the corresponding values for the plate under biaxial compression. On the other hand, if the effect of curvature terms is included (Case 2), the buckling factors are always lower than those in Case 1. This appears to be academic, however, as the results in Tables 2 and 3 show that the curvature terms have little practical effect on the critical buckling factor for the laminate geometries considered.
Table 2: Comparisons of critical buckling factor \( \left( N_{c}a^{2}/h^{3}E_{z} \right) \) for simply supported square cross-ply laminated composite plates \([0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]\), under biaxial buckling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a/h</th>
<th>FSDT [29] ( C_{c}=1 )</th>
<th>Present ( C_{c}=1 )</th>
<th>FSDT[29] ( C_{c}=0 )</th>
<th>Present ( C_{c}=0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.5837</td>
<td>3.9629</td>
<td>3.6706</td>
<td>4.0417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.7459</td>
<td>6.0188</td>
<td>5.8112</td>
<td>6.0853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>6.5213</td>
<td>6.6801</td>
<td>6.5605</td>
<td>6.7201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.0163</td>
<td>7.0830</td>
<td>7.0332</td>
<td>7.1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>7.1100</td>
<td>7.1576</td>
<td>7.1221</td>
<td>7.1697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>7.3335</td>
<td>7.3335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Comparisons of critical buckling factor \( \left( N_{c}a^{2}/h^{3}E_{z} \right) \) for simply supported square cross-ply laminated composite plates \([0^\circ/90^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ]\), under in-plane combined tension and compression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a/h</th>
<th>FSDT [29] ( C_{c}=1 )</th>
<th>Present ( C_{c}=1 )</th>
<th>FSDT[29] ( C_{c}=0 )</th>
<th>Present ( C_{c}=0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.4425</td>
<td>11.5465</td>
<td>10.9050</td>
<td>11.7515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>26.7192</td>
<td>28.2716</td>
<td>27.4733</td>
<td>28.9051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>38.0402</td>
<td>39.3715</td>
<td>38.8042</td>
<td>40.1032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>44.7934</td>
<td>45.8088</td>
<td>45.4372</td>
<td>46.4447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>48.8479</td>
<td>49.6117</td>
<td>49.3608</td>
<td>50.1229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>51.3908</td>
<td>51.9739</td>
<td>51.7962</td>
<td>52.8792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>58.3586</td>
<td>58.3586</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be noted that the present theory involves only two independent variables as against three in the case of first-order shear deformation plate theory [29]. Also, the present theory does not required shear correction factors as in the case of first-order shear deformation plate theory. It can be concluded that the present theory is not only accurate but also efficient in predicting critical buckling load of laminated composite plates.
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Figure 1: A comparison on buckling responses including curvature effects and effect of shear deformation of simply supported square cross-ply laminated composite plates $[0^\circ/90^\circ]$, subjected biaxial compression with those of reported in [29].

Figure 2: A comparison on buckling responses including curvature effects and effect of shear deformation of simply supported square cross-ply laminated composite plates $[0^\circ/90^\circ]$, subjected biaxial compression and tension with those of reported in [29].
Buckling factors are plotted against aspect ratio for plates under uniaxial compression in Figure 3. If only the effect of curvature terms (Case 2) is included, the buckling factors are always lower than those in Case 1. Comparing Figs 1, 2 and 3, the responses are very similar, however, the nondimensional critical buckling load of plate under uniaxial compression is greater than that under biaxial compression and less than that under biaxial compression and tension. In addition, the inclusion of curvature terms decreases the buckling factor no matter what loading condition is applied.

**Conclusions**

In this work, buckling analysis of symmetrically-laminated rectangular plates is investigated. In order to consider the curvature effects, refined two-parameter theory and Navier solution method are used. The present theory has only two unknowns, but it accounts for a parabolic variation of transverse shear strains through the thickness of the plate, without using shear correction factor. Buckling of orthotropic laminates subjected to biaxial inplane loading is investigated. Based on the numerical and graphical results it is concluded that the theory is in good agreement with other higher-order shear deformation theories while predicting the critical buckling response of laminated composite plates. Also, it is observed that, the inclusion of curvature terms decreases the buckling factor no matter what loading condition is applied. In conclusion, it can be said that the proposed theory is
accurate and efficient in predicting the buckling responses of symmetrically-laminated rectangular plates with allowance for the effects of higher-order strain terms (curvature terms).
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